



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,051	10/16/2000	Attila Grauzer	PA0476.ap.US	1520

7590 07/09/2002

Mark A Litman & Associates PA
3209 West 76th Street
York Business Center Suite 205
Edina, MN 55435

EXAMINER

VARMA, SNEH K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/690,051

Applicant(s)
Grauzer et al.

Examiner
Sneh Varma

Art Unit
3711



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sneh Varma

(3) _____

(2) Mark A. Littman

(4) _____

Date of Interview June 21, 26, 27, and July 3, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

On June 21, the Examiner requested a change in the amended claims to clarify it further. Mr. Littman wanted to discuss the changes with the Applicant. On June 26, the Examiner requested a Formal signed copy of the Supplemental amendments submitted informally. On June 27, the Examiner was authorized to enter a description for Figure 20.


On July 3, Mr. Littman agreed that the Examiner would cancel claims 2-24, and 26-40 submitted in the Supplemental Amendment, Paper No. 9, filed on June 27, 2002. Claims 1, 25, and 41-55 would be allowed. The Examiner's restriction requirements in Paper No. 5 are withdrawn.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required